10 NOV 2010 4th NARBO General Meeting Draft, 05 NOV 2010

Seminar on Keys for Success in the IWRM Process in River Basins

TWRM Guidelines at River Basin Level - Improving Water Security



Koichi Takano Water Resources Management Specialist Asian Development Bank



Introduction (1)



Introduction (1) - Tragedy of the Commons



Introduction (2)



Introduction (2) - Prisoner's Dilemma









Introduction (3) - Water Allocation



Introduction (3) - Water Allocation

	DOMESTIC	INDUSTRY	IRRIGATION	TOTAL
(i) DO NOTHING	2	2	2	6
(ii) DOMESTIC and IRRIGATION	4	2	4	10
(iii) IRRIGATION and INDUSTRY	2	4	4	10
(iv) DOMESTIC and INDUSTRY	7	7	2	16
(v) ALL STAKEHOLDERS	6?	6?	6?	18

etwork of Asian River Basin Organization





Lessons from Three Stories

- 1. Environmental Sustainability - Tragedy of the Commons
 - magedy of the commons
- 2. Social Cooperation - Prisoners' Dilemma
- 3. Economy: Win-Win Solution - Water Allocation

- Triple Bottom Line



Outline

- How to operationalize triple bottom line concept?
- What's IWRM Process?
- How do we move up along IWRM spiral?
- How can we learn from Jeneberang river basin?



How to operationalize the triple bottom line concept?

Water Security Guiding Vision

"Societies can enjoy water security when they successfully manage their water resources and services to:

- 1. Satisfy household water and sanitation needs in all communities
- 2. Support productive **economies** in agriculture and industry
- 3. Develop vibrant, livable cities and towns
- 4. Restore healthy rivers and ecosystems
- 5. Build resilient communities that can adapt to change.

Improving water governance cuts across these 5 key dimensions."

Adopted by AWDO 2010 Team in January 2010 and disseminated through news release in February 2010

For reflection Models of IWRM

IWRM Process Approach:

Increase a triple bottom line

- Economic benefits \$
- Social benefits \$
- Environmental benefits \$

Optimize stakeholder satisfaction

- Adopt inclusive approach
- Find win-win solutions
- Generate buy-in for IWRM





Visualization of Triple Bottom Line Natural (Environmental) Capital

Natural Capital is stock of natural ecosystems that yields a flow of valuable ecosystem goods or services into the future. Flow can be indefinitely sustainable. (Wikipedia)



Environment and Ecosystem Service

- Watershed
- Water Quality
- Wetland
- Habitats
- Environmental Flow

Visualization of Triple Bottom Line Social Capital

Social capital is defined as the norms and social relations embedded in the social structures of societies that enable people to co-ordinate action to achieve desired goals. (The World Bank)



Items for Social Capital

- Water and Sanitation for Basic Human Needs
- Equity
- Resilience
- Institutions
- Organizations: Government, RBO, Civil Society, Private Sector

Visualization of Triple Bottom Line Economic Capital

Economic capital is the amount of risk capital, assessed on a realistic basis, which a firm requires to cover the risks that it is running or collecting as a going concern, such as market risk, credit risk, and operational risk. (Wikipedia)



Economic Capital in IWRM

- Agriculture, Fishery, Forestry Production
- Industry
- Energy
- Productivity
- Risk



Increasing Triple Bottom Line Moving up IWRM Spiral



What's IWRM process?

IWRM Guidelines at River Basin Level



NARBO

UNESCI

United Nations ional, Scientific and

> PART 2-I THE GUIDELINES FOR IWRM COORDINATION

Part 1 Principles

Part 2-1 The Guidelines for IWRM Coordination

Part 2-2 The Guidelines for Flood Management

Part 2-3 Invitation to IWRM for Irrigation Practitioners

Part 2-4 The Guidelines for Managing Environmental Sustainability



IWRM Spiral



IWRM Process





Phase 1: Recognizing and identifying

- ✓ Do you understand the needs and problems? Are you in need for IWRM?
- ✓ Are you aware of the past evaluation results and the current situation?
- ✓ Are you thinking into the future?







Phase 2: Conceptualizing

- \checkmark Is it in line with the social demands?
- ✓ Is it well-balanced?
- ✓ Do you understand the constraints and are you exploring "what you can do?"?

Guidelines Part2-1 p.55 Fig. 4.4 Phase 2: Conceptualizing





Phase 3: Coordinating and detail planning

✓ Is transparency secured (satisfying to the reason)?
✓ Are stakeholders convinced (satisfying to the heart)?
✓ Is it socially fair (satisfying to the law)?







Guidelines Part2-1 0.57 Fig. 4.6 Phase 4: Implementing, monitoring and evaluating

Phase 4: Implementing, monitoring and evaluating

- ✓ Is the implementation program executed promptly?
 ✓ Is the system adapted and functioning?
- ✓ Are there any new problems with the new approach/scheme?



Things to Keep in Mind: Important aspects



Guidelines Part2-1 p.58 Fig. 4.8 Important aspects of IWRM: Policies/national strategies, legislative framework, financing

Important aspects of IWRM process: Policies/national strategies, legislative framework, financing

- Can you move ahead just with the consensus built among the stakeholders or do you need a formal framework?
- Are you working bottom-up to influence the national or higher level organizations?
 - ✓ Do you have financial sources in mind?



Where do you stand in the spiral? - to find a suitable KfS



How do we move up along IWRM spiral? Case Study: Yoshino River, Japan





Satellite image

Yoshino river basin

Annual Rainfall in Shikoku



(Source: MLIT, Shikoku Regional Development Bureau)



Characteristics of World Rivers

The rivers are remarkably steeper than continental rivers.



Sourece : "River Engineering" by Yutaka Takahashi and "Safe Land, Beautiful Land" by MLIT

Fluctuating River Flow (Minimum discharge/Maximum discharge)





Prefectures : the large-area local governing unit

Current prefectural system in Japan was established in 1871 after the new government abolished fiefs run by feudal clans known as *"Han"*.

Source: wikipedia

Characteristics and Problems of 4 Prefectures						
	Characteristics	Pro In each Pref.	blems Common in 4 Pref.			
Tokushima	Downstream of the Yoshino river	 Needs for ➢ Flood control ➢ Stable water intake 	Needs for > Land Rehabilitation > Hydroelectricity Power Generation > Development (Emergence from backwardness)			
Kochi	 Upstream of the Yoshino river Much rainfall 	Needs for ➤ Compensation				
Ehime	 Sub-basin of the Yoshino river (Dozan river) Water shortage 	Needs for ➤ Water diversion				
Kagawa	 Outside of Yoshino river basin Water shortage 	Needs for ➤ Water diversion				



Identify problems

Need for Land rehabilitation

(Flood and Earthquake occurred right after the war)

Demand for electricity

(Hydroelectric power generation)

➤Water shortage

(Demand for both irrigation and urban water)





Serious Disaster (Drought and Flood) in Shikoku





Drafting an initial plan







Stakeholders' reaction to the initial plan

➤Tokushima (Riparian residents)

- ≻Kochi (Upstream residents) **Ehime** (Transboundary water use) ≻Kagawa
 - (Transboundary water use)

: Unhappy as the flood control will not be achieved, river flow will decrease during drought season and place of scenic beauty will be underwater

: Unhappy as residents will be relocated by dam const.

: Happy

as water will be allocated.

: Unhappy as water will not be allocated.





Drafting the 2nd plan







Stakeholders' reaction to the 2nd plan Stakeholders

Tokushima (Riparian residents)

Kochi (Upstream residents)

➢Ehime

(Transboundary water use)

≻Kagawa

(Transboundary water use)

: Unhappy

as river flow will decrease during drought season and place of scenic beauty will be underwater

: Unhappy

as residents will be relocated by dam const.

- : Нарру
 - as water will be allocated.
- : Moderately happy

as water will be allocated but not met the demand.







Why they couldn't proceed next phase, 'Coordinating and detail Planning'?



[Problem] Basic data for the plan...

Each sector had determined its basic data for the plan, which was based on their respective data ⇒Therefore, Plans based on their own data were not understood by other sectors.



Formulating water budget for an integrated plan



The proposal by Shikoku Regional Bureau of MOC to work out common data and to recognize the importance of all stakeholders ensured that all parties participated in the processes.

[The Key]
 [1.2.1] Identify potential priority areas (critical locations and key issues) for IWRM implementation in the basin.
 [1.3.1] Proactively disclose information as it is a fundamental activity in IWRM.

[Problem] Coordination didn't proceeded...

Lack of motivation for agreement among Pref.s ⇒Central government facilitates Comprehensive Development Plan of Shikoku





A council created a top-down system based on the law. A working group (bottom-up system) was also set up. Coordination within the WG led to an agreement being reached.

[The Key]
 [3.1.1] Prepare a framework for stakeholder participation in order to build consensus among stakeholders.

Final plan and agreement







Complete construction





Evaluation Method - Hexagram

Compensation





Monitoring & evaluation

Social Impacts ✓Necessity of drought conciliation mechanism ✓Necessity of flow for environment



Capitals on Triple Bottom Line



Next turn of the "Spiral"



Creation of mechanism for drought conciliation

Conciliation Council for Water Use in the Yoshino River



[The Key]
 [1.1.2] Consider a significant change in the basin as a chance to move IWRM forward, and recognize and understand such changes as early as possible.
 [3.2.4] Consider ways, including policy interventions, to secure water supply during extreme events.
 [4.1.2] Keep the coordination scheme for planning and share information among stakeholders.

Securing flow for the environment so as to improve IWRM in the next stage



[1.2.1] Identify potential priority areas (critical locations and key issues) for IWRM implementation in the basin.
 [4.2.3] Examine evaluation results and identify/analyze changes occurring in the basin through broad and long-term perspectives at each turning point of the IWRM process. Translate into a driving force to improve IWRM into the next stage.

Capitals on Triple Bottom Line



Introduction of how to learn from the VGTB basin Applying the "Spiral" model of IWRM to the VGTB

Case based Learning in Jeneberang River Basin



- 8. Discuss alternative action to optimize triple bottom line and stakeholder satisfaction
- 7. Apply lessons for future activities
- 6. Understand relationship among stakeholders
- 5. Understand priority issues



- 4. Find "Keys for Success" to improve IWRM
- 3. Discuss issues and lessons
- 3. Coordinating & 2. Understand history of activities
 - 1. Basic info of the basin





Thank you for your participation!

